

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/668,560	09/22/2003	Robert P. Bartholomew	AC032 (26668-56)	2920	
73824 Armstrong Tea	7590 10/09/2009 asdale LLP (IGT - 26668)	EXAM	EXAMINER		
Robert B. Reeser, III			D AGOSTINO, PAUL ANTHONY		
One Metropoli St. Louis, MO	itan Square, Suite 2600 63102	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			3714	3714	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			10/09/2009	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

USpatents@armstrongteasdale.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)						
10/668,560	BARTHOLOMEW ET AL.						
Examiner	Art Unit						
Paul A. D'Agostino	3714						

	Paul A. D'Agostino	3714						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED 15 September 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
 M The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing								
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailting date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: (1 box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b), ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TW							
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(I).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as					
NOTICE OF APPEAL	lian as with 27 CER 41 27 must be a	Clad within two months	a of the date of					
2. L The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of th filling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the apper Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).								
AMENDMENTS								
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, t They raise new issues that would require further cor They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belowed) 	nsideration and/or search (see NOT		cause					
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better appeal; and/or		lucing or simplifying th	ne issues for					
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	cted claims.						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.116	21 See attached Notice of Non-Cor	mnliant Amendment ((PTOL-324)					
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		ripilant / trionamont (i	TOL OL+).					
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).	owable if submitted in a separate, t	•						
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		be entered and an ex	xplanation of					
Claim(s) allowed:								
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-6.8-38.40-73.75.76 and 78-92</u> .								
Claim(s) rejected. 1-0,0-38,40-73,78,76 and 76-92. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 								
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fails	s to provide a					
The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER								
The request for reconsideration has been considered but Applicant's arguments have been considered but they are								
wherein if certain conditions are not met (e.g., winning protection). Thus, the rejection of the claims is maintained.		and the acitivity is reco	orded by player					
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).								
13. Other:								

/John M Hotaling II/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3714